United States District Court

for the

District of Massachusetts

United States of America)	
v.)	
WANDER ERNESTO CUELLO) Case No.	23-10038-FDS

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Part I - Eligibility for Detention

Upon the

- ₫ Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or
- ₫ Motion of the Government or Court's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),

the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.

Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)

☐ A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person
and the community because the following conditions have been met:
\Box (1) the defendant is charged with one of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
☐ (a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C.
§ 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
\Box (b) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or
(c) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or
\Box (d) any felony if such person has been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs
(a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; or
\Box (e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves:
(i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and
(2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.
§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise
to Federal jurisdiction had existed; and
\Box (3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was
committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; and
☐ (4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the
defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

☐ B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant
committed one or more of the following offenses:
\mathbf{I} (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
☐ (2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
☐ (3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
☐ (4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
☐ (5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
☐ The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR
☑ The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
■ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
■ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
☑ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
☑ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
☐ Prior criminal history
☐ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
☐ History of violence or use of weapons
☐ History of alcohol or substance abuse
☑ Lack of stable employment
☐ Lack of stable residence
☐ Lack of financially responsible sureties

Case 1:23-cr-10038-FDS Document 40 Filed 02/22/23 Page 3 of 3

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial
☐ Lack of significant community or family ties to this district
✓ Significant family or other ties outside the United States
☑ Lack of legal status in the United States
☑ Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
☐ Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
☐ Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
☐ Use of alias(es) or false documents
☐ Background information unknown or unverified
 Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release

OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

The defendant Wander Cuello ("Wander") is charged in a multi-defendant indictment with conspiracy to distribute and to possess with intent to distribute 500 grams or more of methamphetamine and 400 grams or more of fentanyl and cocaine, in violation of 21 U.S.C. sec. 846. At this juncture, the evidence against him appears strong. The defendant was identified during an investigation of a drug trafficking organization ("DTO") led by the defendant Erison Jose Cuello-Nunez. The investigation included wiretaps, surveillance, search warrants, drug seizures and other investigatory techniques. Wander was intercepted on nearly a daily basis on several phones making arrangements to make drug deliveries at the direction of Cuello-Nunez. Wander also drove for various members of the DTO, and was entrusted with substantial quantities of drugs and money. There is no record of Wander having a valid driver's license. While Wander reports being in the United States for only about a year, his identification was found in 2020 during a search of another drug dealer 's premises.

The defendant, age 26, was born in the Dominican Republic and has no legal status in the United States. There is an ICE detainer and removal proceedings have been started. Wander has two children who live in the Dominican Republic and his parents and some of his siblings live there as well. In the United States Wander lives with his girlfriend, who is pregnant. Wander's aunt will let him and his girlfriend reside with her, her husband and four children. Wander does not appear to have a criminal record in the United States.

The government has met its burden of proving by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. The defendant has no ability to be employed legally in the United States. He was a trusted member of a significant DTO, and there is a substantial risk that the defendant will continue to engage in illegal activities to provide income for his family both here and in the Dominican Republic.

The government has also met its burden of proving by a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. Wander has substantial ties to the Dominican Republic and faces deportation if convicted, after serving a significant sentence. The risk of flight if he is released is too great.

The court acknowledges that Probation has recommended home incarceration with location monitoring. This court does not find this to be a viable alternative given the number of people with whom Wander proposes to live. More importantly, this court does not find that home confinement will prevent the defendant from continued involvement with the DTO. Therefore, he will be detained.

Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date:	02/22/2023	/s/ Judith Gail Dein
		United States Magistrate Judge